	Case 1:24-cv-01046-SAB Document	2 Filed 09/06/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTURO C. GUZMAN,	1:24-cv-01046 SAB (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants	
22	are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial	
23	part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property	
24	that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may	
25	otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is	
26	subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
27	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Bernardino County, which	
28	is in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United	
		1

States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 6, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:24-cv-01046-SAB Document 2 Filed 09/06/24 Page 2 of 2